

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICANTS: Kil-soo JUNG et al.

CONFIRMATION NO.: 8962

APPLICATION NO.: 10/791,284

GROUP ART UNIT: 2621

FILING DATE: March 3, 2004

EXAMINER: Syed Y. HASAN

TITLE: REPRODUCING APPARATUS AND METHOD, AND RECORDING MEDIUM

COMMENTS ON EXAMINER'S STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Mail Stop: Issue Fee
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Applicants agree in part with the Examiner's statement of reasons for allowance in that the prior art of record fails to disclose, teach, or suggest the claimed invention. However, Applicants note that the Examiner's statement fails to address all features of all of the allowed claims. For example, certain claim features were not discussed in the Examiner's reasons for allowance, including those in the dependent claims, each of which defines a unique combination of features not shown or suggested by the prior art, providing additional reasons for allowance of each claim.

Applicants submit that the scope of the claims should be interpreted based on the actual language of the allowed claims, and no further limitation of the claims should be inferred from the Examiner's statement of reasons for allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: October 22, 2010

By: /Rachael Lea Leventhal/
Rachael Lea Leventhal
Reg. No. 54,266
NSIP Law
1156 15th Street NW, Suite 603
Washington, DC 20005
Tel: (202) 429-0020

RLL/